



# Compressive Independent Component Analysis

# Michael P. Sheehan IDCOM, University of Edinburgh

Joint work with Mike E. Davies and Madeleine Kotzagiannidis





# Outline

- 1. Compressive Learning
- 2. Compressive ICA
  - i. Independent Component Analysis
  - ii. Motivation
  - iii. Theory
  - iv. Inverse Problem

### 3. Results

- i. Phase Transition
- ii. Toy Example

### 4. Outlook





# 1. Compressive Learning











# **Challenges**

 Dataset has to be stored in memory

### Large Scale Learning

What if d or n is large?

- 2. Computation complexity may scale with the dataset dimensions
- 3. Amenable to online/distributed learning ?







a) Original



- e.g. Feature Selection, Random Projection
- Does not compress the number of data points n







b) Dimensionality Reduction

# **Compression Schemes**



- e.g. sampling, Nystrom, coresets
- Does not compress the feature space
- Could potentially discard important items







m

Z

## **Linear Sketches**

- The sketch has dimension m << n d</li>
- The size *m* typically scales independent of *n* and *d*
- Amenable to online learning





# Compressive learning: How do we form the sketch ?

n $x_1 \quad x_2$  $\chi_3$  $x_n$ *x*<sub>1</sub>

• We pass each data point  $x_i$  through a feature function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}^m$ 





# Compressive learning: How do we form the sketch ?

 $x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_n$ 

*x*<sub>2</sub>

n

• We pass each data point  $x_i$  through a feature function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}^m$ 





 $x_1 \quad x_2$ 

 $\bigcap$ 

n

 $x_n$ 

*x*<sub>3</sub>

 $\chi_3$ 



# Compressive learning: How do we form the sketch ?

• We pass each data point  $x_i$  through a feature function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}^m$ 

$$\left[\Phi(\mathbf{A}), \Phi(\mathbf{A})\right]$$



 $x_1 \quad x_2$ 

n

 $x_n$ 

 $x_n$ 

 $\chi_3$ 



# Compressive learning: How do we form the sketch ?

• We pass each data point  $x_i$  through a feature function  $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}^m$ 

$$\left[\Phi(\mathbf{A}), \Phi(\mathbf{A}), \Phi(\mathbf{A})\right]$$





# Compressive learning: How do we form the sketch ?

We then pool and  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{x})$ average the feature function of each data point to form the sketch n  $x_i$ т Z





т

Ζ

# Compressive learning: How do we form the sketch ?



### **Advantages**

- Only the sketch of size
   *m* has to be stored in
   memory
- Typically,  $m \ll nd$
- Easily amenable to online and distributed learning



# How do we learn from a sketch?

- We want to learn the parameters  $\theta$  of the learning model  $\pi_{\theta} \in \mathcal{P}$  where  $x \sim \pi_{\theta}$
- Similar to moment matching, we match the sketch with it's expectation

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \|z - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \pi_{\theta}} \Phi(x)\|$$
Sketch (empirical moment) True moment



# Compressive Learning: How is it possible?

- Sketch reformulation:  $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{\theta}) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \pi_{\theta}} \Phi(x)$
- $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  equivalently a linear operator acting the model







# Compressive Learning: How is it possible?



Low dimensional Manifold

Low Rank

Sparsity





# What has compressive learning achieved so far?

1. Compressive k means



• Model set  $\mathfrak{S}$   $\pi_{\theta}$ : k centres  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ 

• Feature Function  $\Phi(x) = \left(\frac{e}{x}\right)$ 

$$\left(\frac{e^{i\omega_j^T x}}{w \,\omega_j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$$

• Sketch Size  $m \approx \mathcal{O}(kd)$ 

"Compressive Statistical Learning with Random Feature Moments" Gribonval et. al. 2020





## What CL has achieved so far?

1. Compressive k means

2. Compressive GMM

- Model 0 Model 1
- **Model set**  $\mathfrak{S}$   $\pi_{\theta}$ : mixture of k Gaussians

• Feature Function 
$$\Phi(x) = \left(e^{i\omega_j^T x}\right)_{i=1}^m$$

• Sketch Size  $m pprox \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{kd})$ 





## What CL has achieved so far?

1. Compressive k means

2. Compressive GMM

3. Compressive PCA

• Model set  $\mathfrak{S}_{\theta}: k$  dimensional subspace -  $(\operatorname{rank}(\Sigma_{\pi_{\theta}}) \leq k)$ 

Gene 2

- Feature Function  $\Phi(x) = \langle a_j, xx^T \rangle_{j=1}^m$
- Sketch Size  $m pprox \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{kd})$

Gene 3

"Compressive Statistical Learning with Random Feature Moments" Gribonval et. al. 2020









# Independent Component Analysis

- ICA is a method to identify latent variables that are mutually independent to one another.
- Applications: Blind source separation, EEG recordings, financial modelling, telecommunications
- Given a dataset  $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$

• 
$$S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$$

• Mixing matrix 
$$\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{d imes d}$$







# Independent Component Analysis



#### **ICA** assumptions

• Each source signal  $s = (s_1, s_2, ..., s_d)$  in time has components that are mutually independent:

$$\pi(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \pi_i(s_i)$$

• The individual distributions are assumed non-Gaussian but left unspecified (Semi-parametric)





# How do we estimate Q?



 $\hat{s} = \hat{Q}^T x$ 

### **Measures of independence**

- Mutual information
- KL divergence
- Kurtosis (cumulant based methods)





# Cumulant Based ICA (Kurtosis)

The  $4^{\text{th}}$  order cumulant (kurtosis) of a  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is defined as

$$\left(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}\right)_{ijkl} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{j}x_{k}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{j}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{k}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{k}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{j}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l$$

In our multivariate setting, the 4<sup>th</sup> order cumulant gives rise to a 4<sup>th</sup> order tensor  $\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$ 

<u>Note</u>

- . The diagonal entries  $\left( \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{ heta}} 
  ight)_{iiii}$  auto-cumulants
- 2. The off-diagonal entries  $(\chi_{\pi_{\theta}})_{ijkl}$  are the cross-cumulants

 $\widehat{s} = \widehat{Q}^T x$ 





# Cumulant Based ICA (Kurtosis)

The  $4^{th}$  order cumulant (kurtosis) of a x is defined as

 $\left(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}\right)_{ijkl} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{j}x_{k}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{j}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{k}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{k}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{j}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}\right]\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[x_{i}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l}x_{l$ 

- In our multivariate setting, the 4<sup>th</sup> order cumulant gives rise to a 4<sup>th</sup> order tensor  $\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$ Note <u>Zero</u> when
- .. The diagonal entries  $\left( arX_{\pi_{ heta}} 
  ight)_{iiii}$  auto-cumulants
  - The off-diagonal entries  $\left( \chi_{\pi_{ heta}} 
    ight)_{ijkl}$  are the cross-cumulants

independent

 $\widehat{s} = \widehat{Q}^T x$ 







 $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \times_{1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{T} \times_{2} \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{T} \times_{3} \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{T} \times_{4} \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{T}$ 

is **diagonal** 





• The geometry of the cumulant tenors gives rise to a natural model set:

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{ \pi_{\theta} \mid \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} = \mathcal{S} \times_{1} \mathbf{Q} \times_{2} \mathbf{Q} \times_{3} \mathbf{Q} \times_{4} \mathbf{Q} \}$$





• The geometry of the cumulant tenors gives rise to a natural model set:

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{ \pi_{\theta} \mid \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} = \mathcal{S} \times_{1} \mathbf{Q} \times_{2} \mathbf{Q} \times_{3} \mathbf{Q} \times_{4} \mathbf{Q} \}$$

Diagonal Tensor with *d* <u>degrees of freedom</u>





• The geometry of the cumulant tenors gives rise to a natural model set:

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{ \pi_{\theta} \mid \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} = \mathcal{S} \times_{1} \mathbf{Q} \times_{2} \mathbf{Q} \times_{3} \mathbf{Q} \times_{4} \mathbf{Q} \}$$

Diagonal Tensor with *d* <u>degrees of freedom</u> Orthogonal matrix with  $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$  degrees of freedom





• The geometry of the cumulant tenors gives rise to a natural model set:

 $\mathfrak{S} = \{ \pi_{\theta} \mid \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} = \mathcal{S} \times_{1} \mathbf{Q} \times_{2} \mathbf{Q} \times_{3} \mathbf{Q} \times_{4} \mathbf{Q} \}$ Orthogonal matrix **Diagonal Tensor with** Total of  $\frac{d(d+1)}{d(d+1)}$ d degrees of freedom with  $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$  degrees of degrees of freedom freedom





• The geometry of the cumulant tenors gives rises to a natural model set:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \{ \pi_{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} \times_{1} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \times_{2} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \times_{3} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \times_{4} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \}$$

•  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a low dimension model set residing in  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$ 





• The geometry of the cumulant tenors gives rises to a natural model set:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{S}} = \{ \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} \times_{1} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \times_{2} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \times_{3} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \times_{4} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}} \}$$

- residing in  $\mathbb{R}^{d imes d imes d imes d}$ 
  - Can we exploit the structure/sparsity of  $\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}$  to from a sketch?

 $\mathfrak{S}$  is a low dimension model set





# Compressive ICA: Forming the Sketch

- Feature function:  $\Phi(x) = \langle a_j, x \otimes x \otimes x \otimes x \rangle_{j=1}^m$
- Equivalent Sketching Operator:  $\mathcal{A}(\chi_{\pi_{\theta}}) = A \operatorname{vec}(\chi_{\pi_{\theta}})$ where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d^4}$  is a random (sub) Gaussian matrix

c.f. compressive sensing





# Compressive ICA: Forming the Sketch

- Feature function:  $\Phi(x) = \langle a_j, x \otimes x \otimes x \otimes x \rangle_{j=1}^m$
- Equivalent Sketching Operator:  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A} \operatorname{vec}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}})$ where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d^4}$  is a random (sub) Gaussian matrix

Recall: 
$$\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$





# Compressive ICA: Forming the Sketch

- Feature function:  $\Phi(x) = \langle a_j, x \otimes x \otimes x \otimes x \rangle_{j=1}^m$
- Equivalent Sketching Operator:  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}) = A \operatorname{vec}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}})$ where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d^4}$  is a random (sub) Gaussian matrix

Recall: 
$$\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

Inverse Problem

$$\min_{\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathfrak{S}} \left\| \mathbf{z} - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \right\|$$





## **Compressive ICA Restricted Isometry Property**

Let  $A_{ij} \sim N(0, \sqrt{m^{-1}})$ , then for any  $\xi, \delta \in (0,1)$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have

$$(1-\delta) \left\| \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_1}} - \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_2}} \right\|_F^2 \le \left\| \mathcal{A} \left( \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_1}} - \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_2}} \right) \right\|_2^2$$





## **Compressive ICA Restricted Isometry Property**

Let  $A_{ij} \sim N(0, \sqrt{m^{-1}})$ , then for any  $\xi, \delta \in (0,1)$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we have

$$(1-\delta) \left\| \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_1}} - \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_2}} \right\|_F^2 \le \left\| \mathcal{A} \left( \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_1}} - \mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta_2}} \right) \right\|_2^2$$

with prob.  $1 - \xi$  provided that the sketch size

$$m \ge \frac{C}{\delta^2} \max\left\{ 4d(d+1)\log(6), \log(\frac{6}{\xi}) \right\}$$

38/71





## **Compressive ICA Restricted Isometry Property**



 $m \ge \frac{C}{\delta^2} \max\left\{4d(d+1)\log(6), \log(\frac{6}{\xi})\right\}$ 





# Constrained Optimization $\min_{\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathfrak{S}} \| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \|$

 We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP





# Constrained Optimization $\min_{\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathfrak{S}} \| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \|$

- We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP
- After each gradient step, we project onto the model set  $\mathfrak{S}$





- We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP
- After each gradient step, we project onto the model set  $\mathfrak{S}$
- Akin to Compressive Sensing (hard thresholding onto the sparse set)

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}} \| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \|$ 





# Constrained Optimization $\min_{\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \mathfrak{S}} \| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \|$

- We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP
- After each gradient step, we project onto the model set  $\mathfrak{S}$
- Akin to Compressive Sensing (hard thresholding onto the sparse set)







- We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP
- After each gradient step, we project onto the model set  $\mathfrak{S}$
- Akin to Compressive Sensing (hard thresholding onto the sparse set)



 $\min_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}} \| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \|$ 





- We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP
- After each gradient step, we project onto the model set  $\mathfrak{S}$
- Akin to Compressive Sensing (hard thresholding onto the sparse set)



 $\min_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \right\|$ 





- We propose an iterative projection gradient descent scheme to solve the OP
- After each gradient step, we project onto the model set  $\mathfrak{S}$
- Akin to Compressive Sensing (hard thresholding onto the sparse set)

 $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$  $\chi^{(1)}$  $\chi^{(2)}$ 46/71

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}} \in \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\pi_{\theta}}) \right\|$ 





# 3. Results





## **Compressive ICA RIP in Practice**

Compressive ICA RIP:  $m \approx O(d(d+1))$ 

## Experiment 1

- We mix the cumulant tensor of d = 7 sources signals by a known mixing matrix Q to construct  $\chi_{\pi_{\theta}}$ .
- For varying sketch sizes m, we compute the sketch and obtain the estimate  $\widehat{Q}$  using the IPG algorithm.
- Consider the estimation successful if  $D(Q, \hat{Q}) \le 10^{-7}$ , where *D* is the Amari error/distance.





### **Compressive ICA RIP in Practice** Compressive ICA RIP: $m \approx \mathcal{O}\big(d(d+1)\big)$ 1 m = 760.8 0.6 Success 0.4 Sketch Size Success - Model Set Dimension 0.2 - Original Dimension 50 100 150 200 250

Compressive Sketch Size m







50/71





## **Compressive ICA RIP in Practice**



Compressive ICA RIP:  $m \approx O(d(d+1))$ 









## **Compressive ICA RIP in Practice**







# How efficient are sketches?

### Experiment 2

- d = 7 source signals mixed by Q
- Measure the average Amari error  $D(Q, \widehat{Q})$  over 1000 trials
- Plotted as function of the number of data points *n*







## How efficient are sketches?

Sketch size is not sufficient so fails.







## How efficient are sketches?

The mean Amari error of the sketch converges toward the full data error.







# Toy Example







# Toy Example

















#### Mixed Sources (Microphone Recordings)





SUITION











Summar

#### The University of Edinburgh













50000 M

	Method	Amari Error	Space Complexity
	Fast ICA (recourse to data)	0.4087	$5 \times 16000 \text{ Data}$ matrix $d \qquad x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_n$
0	Cumulant based ICA (no Compression)	0.4129	$5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 5$ cumulant Tensor (70 DoF)
	Compressive ICA	0.4156	m = 38 size sketch $Z$





## Limitations

- In general it is difficult to find closed form projections onto model sets
- Here we use a proxy projection, where we first *partially* diagonalise the cumulant tensor using existing techniques and then threshold the cross cumulants to zero.
- As a result, the computational complexity is equivalent to other cumulant based method





# Summary

- We have shown that a low dimensional model set exists in the space of cumulant tensors for the ICA problem
- As a result, we can form sketches that are of the order of the model set to estimate the parameters of the ICA model
- The memory complexity is reduced from  $\mathcal{O}(d^4)$  to  $\mathcal{O}(d(d+1))$





# Outlook

- Seek a cheaper projection operator or proxy that exhibits a computational complexity that scales with *m*
- Quantify theoretically the controlled loss of information/efficiency of taking a sketch of size *m*
- Can we leverage other sufficient statistics to produce sketches from when the distribution, like ICA, is left unspecified?





# Thank you for your attention!

## Any questions?

